

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICAN	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
200690 W	27/80 Johnson	3427A-8

EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, attorney, agent) rep	resenting applicant.
(1) Mr. Urmilage	(3)
(2)	(4)
Date of interview 3/25/1981	
Type: Telephonic Personal (co	py is given to applicant).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	Yes No.
Agreement was reached with respect to so	ome or all of the claims in question. was not reached.
Claims discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	•
	12.1
	greed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
ing of consisting	
Vine Claim by 180	uble Patenting essues relate
my carrier of	
	- A - 2 A
lain in parent an	d'enterférence estoppel à
lain in parent and	d'enterférence estoppel à regarding double palenting
lain in parent an	d'enterférence estoppel à regarding double palenting
USC 102 prior art	d'enterference estoppel. L'regarding double patentin

no copy of the amendments is available, a summary thereof, is attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to supplement the information on this form or to submit a separate record of the substance of the interview.

APPLICANTS, ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS ARE REMINDED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY TO SUPPLEMENT THIS RECORD WITH AN INDICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW AS REQUIRED BY 37 CFR 1.133(b) AND SECTION 713.04 OF THE MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE. (See reverse side for text of Section 713.04.)